

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/522,449	03/09/2000	Jun Hee Kim	40706.00009	2925
7:	590 11/20/2002			
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, CHANH DUY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2675	
,			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A

6		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/522,449	KIM ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Chanh Nguyen	2675		
- Period for	 The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply 	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure - Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 C	October 2002 .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	on of Claims				
4) ⊠	Claim(s) $1-18$ is/are pending in the application				
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
-	Claim(s) <u>6-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.			
Application	•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).		
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment	-	. ,			
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 8	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		
I.S. Patent and Tra	demark Office	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/522,449

Art Unit: 2675

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The references listed on the Information Disclosure Statement filed on May 14,
 have been considered by examiner; see attached PTO-1449.

Specification

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Election/Restrictions

4. Applicant's election with traverse of the invention Group A, consisting of Figures 4-5 which claims 1-5 are readable on the elected species in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that because of the very close interrelationship between all the species of the present application, and because of the potential expense which might be required to file separate applications to cover the related species. This is not found persuasive because species of Figures 4-5 have different electronic components and structure from the other figures species.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Application/Control Number: 09/522,449 Page 3

Art Unit: 2675

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Furuhashi et la (U.S. Patent No. 6,121,947) in view of Ueda et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,739,887) or Ito (U.S. patetn 4,758,896) or prior art admitted by applicant (Figures 1-2).

As to claim 1, Furuhashi discloses a liquid crystal monitor drive apparatus for driving a liquid crystal panel including a connector for inputting an analog graphic signal (e.g., an analog video signal 102 from 101 inputted to A/D converter 104), an analog-digital converter (104) arranged to convert the analog graphic signal (102) from the connector into digital graphic data, a scaler (118) for scaling the definition of the digital

• -

Application/Control Number: 09/522,449

Art Unit: 2675

graphic data, a timing controller (120) arranged to drive the liquid crystal panel based on the digital graphic data form the scaler. The only thing different from the reference of Furuhashi and claim 1 is that Furuhashi does not mention an integrated circuit. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary in the art to have combine a number of electronic components into an integrated circuit so that the device is more compact. Ueda teaches integrated circuit driver (IC) and multi-layered flexible substrate display controller (101). Ito's device is similar to Ueda which uses a multi-layer integrated circuit to perform all receiving, amplifying and video functions of a TV receiver. Prior art teaches a graphic card including all the video display functions similar to the applicant's device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the invention was made to have used an integrated circuit as taught by Ueda or Ito or prior art to the liquid crystal display device of Furuhashi so as to reduce the display device size and weight; see column 7, lines 23-34 of Ueda.

As to dependent claims 2-5, these claims are met by Furuhashi combining with the device of Ueda or Ito or prior art. That is Furuhashi teaches the analog-digital converter (104), the scaler (118), and the timing controller (120) recited in claim 3 as well as the frame memory (110) connected with the scaler as recited in claims 2-4 and Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chanh Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-6603.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner supervisor, Steven Saras can be reached at 305-9720.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

C. Nguyen

November 18, 2002

CHANH NGUYEN